

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
v.
Francisco Torres-Felipe Defendant.

Case Number CR-07-70080 PV7
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 2/20, 2007. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Cornelio Castillo. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Carlos Singh.

PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE

// The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

* There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant has committed an offense.

A. * for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR

B. * under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

// No presumption applies.

PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE

// The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore will be ordered detained.

* The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: The defendant lives with significant other in their home in Oakland for 4 years along with their children. He owns property and several other family members own property.

PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE) Other family members own property and they will post bond.

* The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR

// The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

* The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at hearing and finds as follows: The defendant was strong family ties and property in the N.D. of California. However he is undocumented and the immigration authorities have placed a detainer on him. The immigration detainer operates to prevent the guarantee that the defendant can make the Court ordered appearances in this case. The presumption is rebutted but his immigration status will prevent his ability to make his court appearances.

PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 2/20/07

AUSA LATTY PTS ✓

Patricia V. Trumbull
PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge